JCSU ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING

There will be an OGM of the JCSU on Friday 1st February 2019 at 18:00 in the Brewery Room.

AGENDA

1. Executive Reports of Actions Since Last OGM

2. Members’ Questions to the Executive

3. Treasurer Report

4. Ordinary Motions From Last OGM

(a) Motion requiring the Access Officer is part of the Ambassador Scheme

The JCSU notes: the current prerequisites for the Access and Funding Officer do not require involvement in the College Ambassador Scheme, which is non-selective and only requires students to attend two training sessions to become members.

The JCSU believes: the Access officer should have sufficient child protection training as they have direct contact with underage children when running the CUSU Shadowing scheme, which is provided as part of the Ambassador Training Scheme. It also makes sense for the Access Officer to be part of the Ambassador Scheme because they are also responsible for organising interview shifts for other Ambassadors and sit on the Access Liaison Committee.

The JCSU resolves: Amend the JCSU Constitution to state the Access and Funding Officer must be a current member of the Jesus College Ambassadors Scheme.

Proposed by: David Adeboye

Seconded by: Fadle Arouna
(b) Motion for Separate Presidential Elections

The JCSU notes: that candidates who run for President but are unsuccessful are then unable to stand for another elected position on the Committee.

The JCSU believes: enthusiastic and capable students who run for President but are unsuccessful could add value to the Committee in a different position.

The JCSU resolves: all elected posts other than the President shall be elected one week after the annual Michaelmas term College Council election.

Proposed by: Edward Parker Humphreys

Seconded by: ?

5. New Ordinary Motions

(a) Motion changing the names given to Welfare Officer roles

The JCSU notes: the current names for the two Welfare Officers are ‘Male’ and ‘Female’.

The JCSU believes: these roles should simply be titled ‘Welfare Officers’.

The JCSU resolves: change the constitution so that Male and Female Welfare Officers are renamed as ‘Welfare Officers’ and in the instance where the two top-ranked candidates are of the same self-identifying gender, following the election a third officer of a different self-identifying gender (who has the next most votes) will also serve on the welfare team.

Proposed by: Jen Atherton

Seconded by: Anna-Lisa Cutler

(b) Motion for divestment

The JCSU notes: despite Jesus College having a mission statement which promises to ensure ‘that it operates in a way that is ecologically and environmentally responsible’ it has an undefined amount of endowments, as revealed in the Paradise Papers, in fossil fuel industries. As a large college, should it follow Queens and Downing in committing fully to divest, this decision would likely have a big impact on the University as a whole.
**The JCSU believes:** investments made by the college into fossil fuel companies are socially irresponsible and inconsistent with the educational objectives of the college and divestment is an effective means of protest against unethical corporate behaviour, which Jesus College should fully support.

**The JCSU resolves:** take an official stance which supports full divestment and holds College accountable to the impact of their investments in fossil fuels and support the actions of a Jesus College Divestment Working Group, in persuading and pressuring College to commit to divestment. Also include matters concerning ethical investments within the JCSU Environmental and Ethical Affairs role.

Proposed by: Suzannah Lindley.

Seconded by: Priya Bryant.

---

**(c) Motion to limit the booking of JCR by societies**

**The JCSU notes:** currently, the JCR can be booked for an unlimited amount of time by any college society or any society containing a Jesus College member, even though there are multiple other rooms in college suitable for society use.

**The JCSU believes:** the JCR is meant to be a social space for college members, but multiple bookings are restricting students’ ability to pop in and out at their discretion.

**The JCSU resolves:** limit the specific times and amount of time the JCR can be booked out by societies.

Proposed by: Sejal Karmarkar

Seconded by: Theo King

---

**(d) Motion proposing centralisation of club ticket sales.**

**The JCSU notes:** under the current system, club ticket sales are left to the discretion of a few reps across college, who can sell them at all times via verbal or digital communication in the days prior to the specific club nights.

**The JCSU believes:** the current system is unfair and often results in the same people receiving tickets every week (often the reps’ friends) and should be replaced with a centralised system that prevents this.
The JCSU resolves: the responsibility for ticket sales should be handed over to the JCSU (providing college reps’ consent), who will employ a system where a Google Form/Doc is posted at a specific time each week and the quickest responders will receive the tickets available.

Proposed by: Adam Goldney

Seconded by: Joe Jollans

6. Any Other Business